arXiv:1406.7241v1 [math.AC] 27 Jun 2014

Consimilarity of Split Quaternion Matrices and a Solution of the Split Quaternion Matrix Equation $X - A\widetilde{X}B = C$

Hidayet Hüda Kösal, Mahmut Akyiğit, Murat Tosun
Department of Mathematics, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey

June 30, 2014

Abstract

In this paper, the consimilarity of complex matrices is generalized for the split quaternions. In this regard, coneigenvalue and coneigenvector are defined for split quaternion matrices. Also, the existence of solution to the split quaternion matrix equation $X - A\widetilde{X}B = C$ is characterized and the solution of the equation in the explicit form are derived via its real representation.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 15B33; 15A18.

Keywords:Split quaternion, split quaternion matrix, consimilarity, coneigenvalue.

1 Introduction

Hamilton introduced real quaternions that can be represented as [1]

$$\mathbb{H} = \{q = q_0 + q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k : \ q_s \in R, s = 0, 1, 2, 3\} \tag{1.1}$$

where

$$i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1, \ ij = -ji = k, \ jk = -kj = i, \ ki = -ik = j. \tag{1.2}$$

It seems forthwith that multiplication of the real quaternions is not commutative owing to these ruled. So, it is not easy to work the real quaternions algebra problems. Similarly, it is well known that the main obstacle in study of the real quaternions matrices, dating back 1936 [2], is the non-commutative multiplication of the real quaternions. There are many studies on matrices of the real quaternions. So, Baker discussed right eigenvalues of the real quaternion matrices with a topological approach in [3]. On the other hand, Huang and So introduced on left eigenvalues of the real quaternion matrices [4]. After that Huang discussed consimilarity of the real quaternion matrices and obtained the

Jordan canonical form of the real quaternion matrices down below consimilarity [5]. Jiang and Ling studied in [6] the problem of condiagonalization of the real quaternion matrices under consimilarity and gave two algebraic methods for the condiagonalization. Also,the existence of the solution to the real quaternion matrix equation $X - A\widetilde{X}B = C$, were characterized and the solution of the equation in closed-form are derived via real representation of the real quaternion matrices, [7].
After Hamilton had discovered the real quaternions, James Cockle defined, by using real quaternions, the set of split quaternions, in 1849. The split quaternions are not commutative like real quaternions. But the set of split quaternions contains zero divisors, nilpotent and nontrivial idempotent elements [8]. The split quaternions are a recently developing topic, since the split quaternions are used to express Lorentzian relations. Also, there are many studies on geometric and physical meaning of the split quaternions [8]-[9]-[10]. Alagoz *et al.* considered split quaternion matrices. They investigated the split quaternions matrices using properties of complex matrices [11]. After that Erdogdu and Ozdemir obtained method of finding eigenvalues of the split quaternions matrices. Also, they gave an extension of Gershgorin theorem for the split quaternion matrices in [12].

2 Consimilarity of Split Quaternions

Let $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}i$ and $\mathbb{H}_S = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}i \oplus \mathbb{R}j \oplus \mathbb{R}k$ be the real number, complex number and split quaternion field over the $\mathbb{R}$, respectively, where

$$\begin{gathered} i^2 = -1, \quad j^2 = k^2 = 1 \\ ij = -ji = k, \quad jk = -kj = -i, \quad ki = -ik = j. \end{gathered} \tag{2.1}$$

Let $q = q_0 + q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k \in \mathbb{H}_S$. The conjugate and norm of split quaternion are described as, respectively,

$$\overline{q} = q_0 - q_1 i - q_2 j - q_3 k \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\|q\| = \sqrt{|q\overline{q}|} = \sqrt{|I_q|} \tag{2.3}$$

where $I_q = q_0^2 + q_1^2 - q_2^2 - q_3^2$.
Also, $q \in \mathbb{H}_S$ is said to be timelike, spacelike or null if $I_q > 0$, $I_q < 0$ or $I_q = 0$, respectively.
The linear transformations $R, L : \mathbb{H}_S \to End(\mathbb{H}_S)$, given by

$$R(q) : \mathbb{H}_S \to \mathbb{H}_S, \quad R(q)(x) = xq, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$L(q) : \mathbb{H}_S \to \mathbb{H}_S, \quad L(q)(x) = qx \tag{2.5}$$

are defined the right and left representation of the algebra $\mathbb{H}_S$.
For the split quaternion $q = q_0 + q_1 i + q_2 j + q_3 k \in \mathbb{H}_S$, the mapping:

$$L : \mathbb{H}_S \to M_4(\mathbb{R}),\ L(q) = \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & -q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \\ q_1 & q_0 & q_3 & -q_2 \\ q_2 & q_3 & q_0 & -q_1 \\ q_3 & -q_2 & q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.6}$$

is an isomorphism amongst the algebra of matrices the above form and $\mathbb{H}_S$. $L(q)$ is called the left matrix representation for split quaternions $q \in \mathbb{H}_S$.
In a similar manner, we define the right matrix representation for the split quaternion q, as [8].

$$R : \mathbb{H}_S \to M_4(\mathbb{R}),\ R(q) = \begin{pmatrix} q_0 & -q_1 & q_2 & q_3 \\ q_1 & q_0 & -q_3 & q_2 \\ q_2 & -q_3 & q_0 & q_1 \\ q_3 & q_2 & -q_1 & q_0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.7}$$

Theorem 2.1 *([8]) If $q, p \in \mathbb{H}_S$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have:*

i. $L(q+p) = L(q) + L(p),\ \ R(q+p) = R(q) + R(p)$.

ii. $L(cq) = cL(q),\ \ R(cq) = cR(q)$.

iii. $qp = L(q)\, p,\ \ qp = R(p)\, q,\ L(q) R(p) = R(p) L(q)$.

iv. $L(qp) = L(q) L(p),\ \ R(qp) = R(q) R(p)$.

v. $L\left(q^{-1}\right) = L^{-1}(q),\ \ R\left(q^{-1}\right) = R^{-1}(q),\ \ \|q\| \neq 0$.

Definition 2.2 *Two split quaternions a and b are said to be consimilar if there exists a split quaternion p, $\|p\| \neq 0$ so that $a = \overline{p} b p^{-1}$; this is denoted as $a \overset{c}{\sim} b$. Obviously, the consimilar split quaternions have the same norm. Also $\overset{c}{\sim}$ is an equivalence relation on the split quaternions.*

Theorem 2.3 *([13]) Let $a = a_0 + a_1 i + a_2 j + a_3 k$ and $b = b_0 + b_1 i + b_2 j + b_3 k$ be two split quaternions, a and b are spacelike (or timelike). The equation*

$$ax = \overline{x} b \tag{2.8}$$

has a solution $x \in \mathbb{H}_S$ which $\|x\| \neq 0$ the necessary and sufficient condition $\|a\| = \|b\|$.
Under this circumstances, if $a + \overline{b} \neq 0$, the equation (10) has a solution

$$x = \lambda(\overline{a} + b)$$

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If $a + \overline{b} = 0$, the equation (10) has a solution

$$x = x_0 + x_1 i + x_2 j + x_3 k$$

where $a_0 x_0 - a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 x_3 = 0$.

Corollary 2.1 *From the Theorem 2.3, we can write* $a = \overline{p}\,\|a\|\,p^{-1}$, *for split quaternion* a, *such that* $\|a\| \neq 0$ *and* $a \notin \mathbb{R}$, *where* $p = \|a\| + \overline{a}$.

Theorem 2.4 *([13]) Let* $a \in \mathbb{H}_S$ *where* $\|a\| \neq 0$ *and* $a \notin \mathbb{R}$. *Then the quadratic equation* $x^2 = a$ *has two split quaternion solutions as follow*

$$x = \pm \frac{\|a\|^{\frac{1}{2}} (\|a\| + a)}{\|\|a\| + a\|} = \pm (\lambda_0 + \lambda_1 a), \tag{2.9}$$

where $\lambda_0 = \frac{\|a\|^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\|\|a\|+a\|}$, $\lambda_1 = \frac{\|a\|^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\|\|a\|+a\|}$.

3 Consimilarity of Split Quaternion Matrices

The set of $m \times n$ matrices with the split quaternion entries, which is denoted by $\mathbb{H}_S^{m\times n}$ with ordinary matrix addition and multiplication is a ring with unity. Let A^T, $\overline{A}$ and $A^* = \overline{(A^T)}$ be transpose, conjugate and transpose conjugate matrix of $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$, *respectively*.

Theorem 3.1 *([11]) For any* $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times n}$ *and* $B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times s}$, *the followings statements are valid:*

i. $\left(\overline{A}\right)^T = \overline{(A^T)}$;

ii. $(AB)^* = B^* A^*$;

iii. *If* A *and* B *are nonsingular,* $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$;

iv. *If* A *is nonsingular,* $(A^*)^{-1} = \left(A^{-1}\right)^*$;

v. *If* A *is nonsingular,* $\left(\overline{A}\right)^{-1} \neq \overline{(A^{-1})}$, *in general;*

vi. *If* A *is nonsingular,* $\left(A^T\right)^{-1} \neq \left(A^{-1}\right)^T$, *in general;*

vii. $\overline{AB} \neq \overline{A}\,\overline{B}$, *in general;*

viii. $(AB)^T \neq B^T A^T$, *in general.*

A matrix $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ is said to be similar a matrix $B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ if there exists a nonsingular matrix $P \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ so that $P^{-1}AP = B$. The relation, A is similar to B, is denoted $A \sim B$. Similarity is an equivalence relation on the split quaternion matrices.

On the other hand, a complex matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is said to be complex consimilar $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ if a nonsingular matrix $\overline{P}AP^{-1} = B$ be found. Complex consimilarity is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ and has been extensively studied [14]. The split quaternion holds an important place in differential geometry and structure theory of Lorentz spaces [8]-[9], and for this reason consimilarity for complex matrices will be extended for split quaternion matrices.

If $A, B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$, generally $(AB)^T \neq B^T A^T$, and $\overline{AB} \neq \overline{A}\,\overline{B}$. Thus the mapping $A \to \overline{P}AP^{-1}$ is not an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$. Thus we need to give a new definition of consimilarity of split quaternion matrices.

Definition 3.2 *Let $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$, then we define $\widetilde{A} = jAj$. We say that $\widetilde{A}$ is the $j-conjugate$ of A.*

For any $A, B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times n}$ and $C \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times s}$, the following equalities are easy to confirm

i. $\widetilde{\left(\widetilde{A}\right)} = A$;

ii. $\widetilde{(A+B)} = \widetilde{A} + \widetilde{B}$;

iii. $\widetilde{(AC)} = \widetilde{A}\widetilde{C}$;

iv. $\overline{\left(\widetilde{A}\right)} = \widetilde{(\overline{A})}$.

Theorem 3.3 *If $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$, in that case*

$$A \text{ is nonsingular } \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{A} \text{ is nonsingular } \Leftrightarrow A^* \text{ is nonsingular.}$$

Furthermore, if A is nonsingular, $(A^*)^{-1} = \left(A^{-1}\right)^*$ and $\left(\widetilde{A}\right)^{-1} = \widetilde{(A^{-1})}$.

Proof. Since A is nonsingular, there exists an matrix $A^{-1} \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ so that $AA^{-1} = I$. Thus $AA^{-1} = I \Leftrightarrow jAjjA^{-1}j = I$ and $\left(\widetilde{A}\right)^{-1} = \widetilde{(A^{-1})}$. Similar way, we get $A^*\left(A^{-1}\right)^* = I$. ■

Definition 3.4 *Two split quaternion matrices A and B are expressed as consimilar if nonsingular split quaternion matrix P so that $\widetilde{P}AP^{-1} = B$ be found, this is denoted as $A \overset{c}{\sim} B$.*

Theorem 3.5 *For $A, B, C \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$, the followings are satisfied:*

- *Reflexive: $A \overset{c}{\sim} A$;*
- *Symmetric: if $A \overset{c}{\sim} B$, then $B \overset{c}{\sim} A$;*
- *Transitive: if $A \overset{c}{\sim} B$ and $B \overset{c}{\sim} C$ then $A \overset{c}{\sim} C$.*

Proof.

- Reflexive: $\widetilde{I_n}\, A\, I_n^{-1} = A$ trivially, for $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$. So, consimilarity is reflexive.

- Symmetric: Let $\widetilde{P}AP^{-1} = B$. As P is nonsingular, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\widetilde{P}\right)^{-1} BP &= \left(\widetilde{P}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{P}APP^{-1} \\ &= I_n A I_n \\ &= A.\end{aligned}$$

  So, consimilarity is symmetric.

- Transsitive: Let $\widetilde{P_1}AP_1{}^{-1} = B$ and $\widetilde{P_2}BP_2{}^{-1} = C$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}C &= \widetilde{P_2}\widetilde{P_1}AP_1^{-1}P_2^{-1} \\ &= \left(\widetilde{P_2P_1}\right)A(P_2P_1)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

  So, consimilarity is transitive.

■

Then, by Theorem 3.3 consimilarity is an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$. Clearly if $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, then $\overline{A} = \widetilde{A} = jAj$. Thus, $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ is consimilar to $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ as complex matrices if A is consimilar to B as split quaternion matrices. Then, consimilarity relation in $\mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ is a natural extension of complex consimilarity in $\mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$.

Theorem 3.6 *If $A, B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$, then*

$$A \overset{c}{\sim} B \;\Leftrightarrow\; jA \sim jB \;\Leftrightarrow Aj \sim Bj \;\Leftrightarrow jA \sim Bj.$$

Proof. Since $A \overset{c}{\sim} B \;\Leftrightarrow$ there exists a nonsingular matrix $P \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ so that $\widetilde{P}AP^{-1} = jPjAP^{-1} = B$. Thus $A \overset{c}{\sim} B \;\Leftrightarrow PjAP^{-1} = jB \Leftrightarrow jA \sim jB$. Since $j^{-1}jAj = Aj$, we get $jA \sim Aj$ and $jB \sim Bj$. Therefore $jA \sim jB \Leftrightarrow Aj \sim Bj \Leftrightarrow jA \sim Bj$.

■

Definition 3.7 *Let $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}_S$. If there exists $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times 1}$ such that*

$$A\widetilde{x} = x\lambda \;\; (A\widetilde{x} = \lambda x)$$

then λ is said to be a right (left) coneigenvalues of A and x is said to be a coneigenvector of A corresponding to the right (left) coneigenvalue λ. The set of right coneigenvalues is defined as

$$\widetilde{\sigma_r}(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{H}_S : A\widetilde{x} = x\lambda, \textit{ for some } x \neq 0\}.$$

The set of left coneigenvalues is similarly defined and is denoted by $\widetilde{\sigma_l}(A)$.

Recall that if $x \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times 1}(x \neq 0)$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}_S$ satisfying $Ax = x\lambda \;\; (Ax = \lambda x)$, we call x an eigenvector of A, while λ is an right (left) eigenvalue of A. We also say that x is an eigenvector corresponding to the right(left) eigenvalue λ.

Theorem 3.8 *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$, if A is consimilar to B, then A and B have the same right coneigenvalues.*

Proof. Let $A \overset{c}{\sim} B$, then, there exists a nonsingular matrix $P \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ such that $B = \widetilde{P}AP^{-1}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}_S$ be a right coneigenvalue for the matrix A, then we find the matrix $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{H}_s^{n\times 1}$ such that $A\widetilde{x} = x\lambda$. Let $y = P\widetilde{x}$. Finally $By = \widetilde{P}AP^{-1}y = \widetilde{P}A\widetilde{x} = \widetilde{P}x\lambda = \widetilde{y}\lambda$. ■

Theorem 3.9 *If $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$, in that case λ is right coneigenvalue of A necessary and sufficient condition for any $\beta \in \mathbb{H}_S$ $(\|\beta\| \neq 0)$, $\widetilde{\beta}\lambda\beta^{-1}$ is a right coneigenvalue of A.*

Proof. From $A\widetilde{x} = x\lambda$, we get $A(\widetilde{x}\beta) = x\,\widetilde{\beta}\left(\widetilde{\beta}\right)^{-1}\lambda\beta$. ■

Theorem 3.10 *If $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}_S$, then λ_0 is a right coneigenvalue of $A \Leftrightarrow j\lambda_0$ is a right eigenvalue of $Aj \Leftrightarrow \lambda_0 j$ is a right eigenvalue of jA.*

Proof. Suppose that λ_0 is right coneigenvalue of A. Then $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ so that $A\widetilde{x} = Ajxj = x\lambda_0 \Leftrightarrow Ajx = x(\lambda_0 j) \Leftrightarrow \lambda_0 j$ is a right eigenvalue of Aj. Also, $A\widetilde{x} = x\lambda_0 \Leftrightarrow jA\widetilde{x} = jxjj\lambda_0 = \widetilde{x}j\lambda_0 \Leftrightarrow j\lambda_0$ is a right eigenvalue of jA.
■

Definition 3.11 *([11]) Let $A = A_1 + A_2 j \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ where $A_s \in \mathbb{C}^{nxn}$, $s = 1, 2$. The $2n \times 2n$ matrix*

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} A_1 & A_2 \\ \hline A_2 & A_1 \end{array}\right)$$

is called the complex adjoint matrix of A and denoted χ_A.

It is nearby to identify a split quaternion matrix $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ with a complex matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n\times n}$. By the $\cong$ symbol, we will define

$$A = A_1 + A_2 j \cong \mathbf{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c} A_1 \\ \hline A_2 \end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n\times n}.$$

Then, multiplication of $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ can be shown with the help of ordinary matrix multiplication $A\,B \cong (\chi_B)^T\,\mathbf{A}$.

Theorem 3.12 *([11]) Let $A, B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$, then the followings are satisfied:*

i. $\chi_{A+B} = \chi_A + \chi_B$;

ii. $\chi_{AB} = \chi_A\chi_B$;

iii. *If is nonsingular,* $(\chi_A)^{-1} = \chi_{A^{-1}}$;

iv. *In general* $\chi_{A^*} \neq (\chi_A)^*$.

Theorem 3.13 *For every* $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$,

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_r(A) \cap \mathbb{C} = \widetilde{\sigma}(\chi_A)$$

where $\widetilde{\sigma}(\chi_A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \ \chi_A \overline{y} = \lambda y, \ for\ some\ y \neq 0\}$, *is the set of coneigenvalues of* χ_A.

Proof. Let $A = A_1 + A_2 j \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ such that $A_s \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, $s = 1, 2$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be a right coneigenvalue of A. Therefore there exists nonzero column vector $x \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times 1}$ such that $A\widetilde{x} = x\lambda$. This implies

$$\begin{array}{l} (A_1 + A_2 j)(\overline{x_1} + \overline{x_2} j) = (x_1 + x_2 j)\lambda \\ (A\overline{x_1} + A_2 x_2) = x_1\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad (A_2 x_1 + A_1\overline{x_2}) = x_2\overline{\lambda} \end{array}$$

Using these equations, we can write

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} A_1 & A_2 \\ \overline{A_2} & \overline{A_1} \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} \overline{x_1} \\ x_2 \end{array}\right) = \lambda \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ \overline{x_2} \end{array}\right).$$

Therefore, the complex right coneigenvalue of the split quaternion matrix A is an equivalent to the coneigenvalue of the adjoint matrix χ_A that is $\widetilde{\sigma_r}(A) \cap \mathbb{C} = \widetilde{\sigma}(\chi_A)$.

■

4 Real Representation of Split Quaternion Matrices

Let $A = A_0 + A_1 i + A_2 j + A_3 k \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times n}$ where A_s are $m \times n$ real matrices, $s = 0, 1, 2, 3$. We define the linear transformation $\phi_A(X) = A\widetilde{X}$. Then, we can write

$$\begin{array}{l} \phi_A(1) = A = A_0 + A_1 i + A_2 j + A_3 k \\ \phi_A(i) = A\widetilde{i} = A_1 - A_0 i - A_3 j + A_2 k \\ \phi_A(j) = A\widetilde{j} = A_2 + A_3 i + A_0 j + A_1 k \\ \phi_A(k) = A\widetilde{k} = -A_3 + A_2 i + A_1 j - A_0 k. \end{array}$$

Then, we find the real representation of the split quaternion matrix A as follows:

$$\phi_A = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} A_0 & A_1 & A_2 & -A_3 \\ A_1 & -A_0 & A_3 & A_2 \\ A_2 & -A_3 & A_0 & A_1 \\ A_3 & A_2 & A_1 & -A_0 \end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4m\times 4n}.$$

It is nearby to identify a split quaternion matrix $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times n}$ with a real matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{4m\times n}$. By the $\cong$ symbol, we will define

$$A = A_0 + A_1 i + A_2 j + A_3 k \cong \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 \\ A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{4m \times n}.$$

Then, the multiplication of $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m \times n}$ and $\widetilde{B} \in \mathbb{H}^{n \times k}$ can be shown with the help of ordinary matrix multiplication $A\,\widetilde{B} \cong \phi_A\,\mathbf{B}$.

Theorem 4.1 *For the split quaternion matrix A, the following identities are satisfied:*

i. *If $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m \times n}$, then*

$$P_m^{-1}\phi_A P_n = \phi_{\widetilde{A}},\ Q_m^{-1}\phi_A Q_n = -\phi_A,\quad R_m^{-1}\phi_A R_n = \phi_A,\quad S_m^{-1}\phi_A S_n = -\phi_A;$$

where

$$P_m = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I_m \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Q_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_m & 0 & 0 \\ I_m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_m \\ 0 & 0 & -I_m & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -I_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I_m \\ -I_m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_m & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad S_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & I_m \\ 0 & 0 & -I_m & 0 \\ 0 & -I_m & 0 & 0 \\ I_m & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

ii. *If $A, B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m \times n}$, then $\phi_{A+B} = \phi_A + \phi_B$;*

iii. *If $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n \times r}$, in that case $\phi_{AB} = \phi_A P_n \phi_B = \phi_A \phi_{\widetilde{B}} P_r$;*

iv. *If $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m \times m}$, in that case A is nonsingular necessary and sufficient condition ϕ_A is nonsingular, $\phi_A^{-1} = P_m \phi_{A^{-1}} P_m$;*

v. *If $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m \times m}$, $\phi_{\overline{A}} = \varepsilon_2 (\phi_A)^T \varepsilon_2$ where $\varepsilon_2 = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_m \end{pmatrix}$;*

vi. *If $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m \times m}$,*

$$\widetilde{\sigma}_r(A) \cap \mathbb{C} = \sigma(\phi_A)$$

where $\sigma(\phi_A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} :\ \phi_A y = \lambda y,\ for\ some\ y \neq 0\}$ is the set of eigenvalues of ϕ_A.

Proof. The first five statements can be seen in an easy way. Thus, we will prove vi.

Let $A = A_0 + A_1 i + A_2 j + A_3 k \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times m}$ where $A_s \in \mathbb{R}^{m x m}$ for $s = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be a right coneigenvalue of A. Then, there exists nonzero column vector $x \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times 1}$ such that $A\widetilde{x} = x\lambda$. We can write $\phi_A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}\lambda$. Then complex right coneigenvalue of split quaternion matrix A is an equivalent to the eigenvalue of ϕ_A that is $\widetilde{\sigma}_r(A) \cap \mathbb{C} = \sigma(\phi_A)$.

■

5 The Split Quaternion Matrix Equation $X - A\widetilde{X}B = C$

In this part, we take into consideration the split quaternion matrix equation

$$X - A\widetilde{X}B = C \tag{5.1}$$

through the real representation, where $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times m}$, $B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ and $C \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times n}$. We define the real representation matrix equation of the split quaternion matrix equation (12) by

$$Y - \phi_A Y \phi_B = \phi_C \tag{5.2}$$

By (iii.) in Theorem 4.1, the equation (12) is equivalent to the equation

$$\phi_X - \phi_A \phi_X \phi_B = \phi_C. \tag{5.3}$$

Theorem 5.1 *The split quaternion matrix equation (12) has a solution X necessary and sufficient condition real matrix equation (13) has a solution $Y = \phi_X$.*

Theorem 5.2 *Let $A \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times m}$, $B \in \mathbb{H}_S^{n\times n}$ and $C \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times n}$. The split quaternion matrix equation (12) has a solution $X \in \mathbb{H}_S^{m\times n}$ necessary and sufficient condition the matrix equation (13) has a solution $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{4m\times 4n}$. In this case, if Y is a solution to the matrix equation (13), we have*

$$X = \frac{1}{16}\left(I_m \;\; iI_m \;\; jI_m \;\; kI_m\right)\left(Y - Q_m^{-1} Y Q_n + R_m^{-1} Y R_n - S_m^{-1} Y S_n\right)\begin{pmatrix} I_m \\ iI_m \\ jI_m \\ -kI_m \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.4}$$

is a solution to (12).

Proof. We show that if the real matrix

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{11} & Y_{12} & Y_{13} & Y_{14} \\ Y_{21} & Y_{22} & Y_{23} & Y_{24} \\ Y_{31} & Y_{32} & Y_{33} & Y_{34} \\ Y_{41} & Y_{42} & Y_{43} & Y_{44} \end{pmatrix},\; Y_{uv} \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times n},\; u, v = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

is a solution to (13), the matrix represented in (15) is a solution to (12). Since $Q_m^{-1}YQ_n = -Y,\ R_m^{-1}YR_n = Y$, and $S_m^{-1}YS_n = -Y$, we have

$$\begin{array}{r} -Q_m^{-1}YQ_n - \phi_A\left(-Q_m^{-1}YQ_n\right)\phi_B = \phi_C \\ R_m^{-1}YR_n\ - \phi_A\left(\ R_m^{-1}YR_n\ \right)\phi_B\ = \phi_C \\ -S_m^{-1}YS_n\ -\ \phi_A\left(-S_m^{-1}YS_n\right)\phi_B = \phi_C. \end{array} \tag{5.5}$$

Last equations show that if Y is a solution to (13), then $-Q_m^{-1}YQ_n,\quad R_m^{-1}YR_n$ and $S_m^{-1}YS_n$ are also solutions to (13). Then the undermentioned real matrix:

$$Y' = \frac{1}{4}\left(Y - Q_m^{-1}Y\,Q_n + R_m^{-1}Y\,R_n - S_m^{-1}Y\,S_n\right) \tag{5.6}$$

is a solution to (13). After calculating, we easily obtain

$$Y' = \begin{pmatrix} Y_0' & Y_1' & Y_2' & -Y_3' \\ Y_1' & -Y_0' & Y_3' & Y_2' \\ Y_2' & -Y_3' & Y_0' & Y_1' \\ Y_3' & Y_2' & Y_1' & -Y_0' \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} Y_0' = \frac{1}{4}\left(Y_{11} - Y_{22} + Y_{33} - Y_{44}\right), & Y_1' = \frac{1}{4}\left(Y_{12} + Y_{21} + Y_{34} + Y_{43}\right), \\ Y_2' = \frac{1}{4}\left(Y_{13} + Y_{24} + Y_{31} + Y_{42}\right), & Y_3' = \frac{1}{4}\left(-Y_{14} + Y_{23} - Y_{32} + Y_{41}\right). \end{array} \tag{5.7}$$

From (18), we formulate a split quaternion matrix:

$$X = Y_0' + Y_1'i + Y_2'j + Y_3'k = \frac{1}{4}\left(I_m \quad iI_m \quad jI_m \quad kI_m\right) Y' \begin{pmatrix} I_n \\ iI_n \\ jI_n \\ -kI_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly $\phi_X = Y'$. By Theorem 5.1, X is a solution to equation given by (12). ■

References

[1] W. R. Hamilton, Lectures on Quaternions, Hodges and Smith, Dublin, 1853.

[2] L. A. Wolf, Similarity of Matrices in which the Elements are Real Quaternions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1936), 737-743.

[3] A. Baker, Right Eigenvalues for Quaternionic Matrices: A Topological Approach, Linear Algebra and Appl. 286 (1999), 303-309.

[4] L. Huang and W. So, On Left Eigenvalues of a Quaternionic Matrix, Linear Algebra and Appl. 323 (2001), 105-116.

[5] L. Huang, Consimilarity of Quaternion Matrices and Complex Matrices, Linear Algebra and Appl. 331 (2001) 21-30.

[6] T. Jiang and S. Ling, Algebraic Methods for Condiagonalization Under Consimilarity of Quaternion Matrices in Quaternionic Quantum Mechanics, Adv. Appl. Clifford Alg., 23 (2013), 405-415.

[7] T. S. Jiang and M. S. Wei, On a Solution of the Quaternion Matrix Equation $X - A\widetilde{X}B = C$ and its Application, Acta Math. Sin., 21 (2005), 483-490.

[8] L. Kula and Y. Yaylı, Split Quaternions and Rotations in Semi Euclidean Space, J. Korean Math. Soc. 44 (2007), 1313-1327.

[9] M. Ozdemir and A. A. Ergin, Rotations with Unit Timelike Quaternions in Minkowski 3-space, J. Geom. Phys., 56 (2006), 322-336.

[10] M. Erdogdu and M. Ozdemir, Two-sided Linear Split Quaternionic Equations with Unknowns, Linear and Multilinear Alg., (2013) DOI:10.1080/03081087.2013.851196.

[11] Y. Alagoz, K. H. Oral and S. Yuce, Split Quaternion Matrices, Miskolc Math. Notes 13 (2012), 223-232.

[12] M. Erdogdu and M. Ozdemir, On Eigenvalues of Split Quaternion Matrices, Adv. Appl. Clifford Alg., 23(2013) 625-638.

[13] C. Flaut, Some Equation in Algebras Obtained by Cayley-Dickson Process. An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta. 9 (2) (2001), 45-68.

[14] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1985.